

Hexacoordinate Planar Main Group Atoms Centered in Hexagonal Hydrocopper Complexes Cu_6H_6X (X = Si, P, As)

Si-Dian Li,* Guang-Ming Ren, and Chang-Qing Miao

Institute of Materials Sciences and Department of Chemistry, Xinzhou Teachers' University, Xinzhou 034000, Shanxi, P. R. China

Received March 20, 2004

Ab initio theoretical evidence of hexacoordinate planar main group atoms centered in hexagonal hydrocopper complexes Cu_6H_6X (X = Si, P, As) is presented at the density functional theory level in this work. The results obtained extend the bonding capacity of silicon, phosphorus, and arsenic to planar hexacoordination in hydrocopper complexes which are important in fundamental research and may shed new insight into catalyst chemistry.

Considerable progress has been achieved in the past 30 years on planar coordinate carbons, including tetra-,1-5 penta-⁶ and hexacoordinate planar carbons,^{7,8} while much less attention has been paid to their silicon and germanium analogues. Contrary to traditional tetrahedral structures which dominate the chemistry of Si and Ge, tetracoordinate planar Si and Ge were recently observed in MAl₄ and MAl₄⁻ (M = Si, Ge).9 Penta- and hexacoordinate silicons are wellknown in the literature,¹⁰ but there have been no investigations reported on hexacoordinate planar silicon or germanium to date. In searching for novel planar coordinate carboncontaining systems, our group proposed recently the possibility of tetracoordinate planar main group atoms centered in D_{4h} M₄H₄X (M = Cu, Ni; X = B, C, N, O)¹¹ and the corresponding pentacoordinate nonmetal centers in D_{5h} Cu₅H₅X.¹² In this work, we continue to present ab initio

* E-mail: lisidian@yahoo.com.

- Hoffmann, R.; Alder, R. W.; Wilcox, C. F., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 4992.
- (2) Li, X.; Wang, L.-S.; Boldyrev, A. I.; Simons, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6033.
- (3) Wang, L. S.; Boldyrev, A. I.; Li, X.; Simons, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7681.
- (4) Li, X.; Zhai, H.-J.; Wang, L.-S. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2002, 357, 415.
- (5) Li, X.; Zhang, H.-F.; Wang, L.-S.; Geske, G. D.; Boldyrev, A. I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3630.
- (6) Wang, Z.-X.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Science 2001, 292, 2465.
- (7) Exner, K.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Science 2000, 290, 1937.
- (8) Wang, Z.-X.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4082.
- (9) Boldyrev, A. I.; Li, X.; Wang, L.-S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3307.
- (10) Arrowood, T. L.; Kass, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7272. Negrebetsky, V. V.; Bylikin, S. Y.; Shipov, A. G.; Baukov, Y. I.; Bassindale, A. R; Taylor, P. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 678, 39.
- (11) Li, S.-D.; Ren, G.-M.; Miao, C.-Q.; Jin, Z.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2004**, 43, 1371.
- (12) Li, S.-D.; Ren, G.-M.; Miao, C.-Q. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 2232.

10.1021/ic049623u CCC: \$27.50 © 2004 American Chemical Society Published on Web 09/02/2004

theoretical evidence of hexacoordinate planar (HP) main group atoms centered in hexagonal hydrocopper complexes Cu_6H_6X (X = Si, P, As). The results obtained extend the bonding capacity of silicon, phosphorus, and arsenic to planar hexacoordination in hydrometal complexes which are important in fundamental research and may shed new insight into catalyst chemistry.

Computational Methodology

Keeping both geometrical and electronic considerations in mind, we choose the hexagonal hydrocopper Cu₆H₆ proposed by Tsipis et al.¹³ to host Si, P, Ge, and As. Initial structures obtained at density functional theory (DFT) B3LYP/Lanl2dz were further optimized at DFT B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) and imaginary frequencies checked at the same theoretical level. When refined at MP2/6-311+G(d,p)with the frozen-core approximation, the DFT bond lengths are systematically shortened by about 2-5%. The stability of the Cu₆H₆-Si complex with respect to dissociation to hydrocopper Cu₆H₆ and free Si atom is demonstrated by the binding energies of $\Delta E = 183.6$ kJ/mol for the reaction of $Cu_6H_6Si = Cu_6H_6 + Si$ (which also involves obvious structural relaxations of the Cu₆H₆ hexagon as indicated in Table 1). The NMR shielding tensors of hydrogen atoms were calculated by employing the gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) procedure.14 The optimized structures and corresponding highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) pictures are depicted and compared with D_{6h} Cu₆H₆ at B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) in Figure 1. Table 1 summarizes the optimized DFT bond parameters, lowest vibrational frequencies, and calculated NMR chemical shifts $\delta_{\rm H}$ of H atoms relative to the shielding tensor of H in T_d Si(CH₃)₄ (32.0 ppm). All the calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 program.¹⁵

⁽¹³⁾ Tsipis, A. C.; Tsipis, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1136.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Ditchfield, R. Mol. Phys. 1974, 27, 789. Wolinski, K.; Hilton, J. F.; Pulay, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8251.

Table 1. Optimized Bond Lengths *R* (Å), Lowest Vibrational Frequencies ν_{\min} (cm⁻¹), and NMR Chemical Shifts $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm) of Cu₆H₆X Complexes (X = Si, P, Ge, As) Compared with the Corresponding Values of D_{6h} Cu₆H₆ at B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)

		Cu ₆ H ₆ Si						
	$\mathrm{Cu}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{6}\left(D_{6\mathrm{h}}\right)$	C_{2v}	$D_{6\mathrm{h}}$	C_{6v}	$Cu_6H_6Si^+(D_{6h})$	$Cu_{6}H_{6}P^{+}(D_{6h})$	$Cu_6H_6Ge(C_{6v})$	$Cu_6H_6As^+$ (D_{6h})
R _{Cu-Cu}	2.554	2.452	2.461	2.461	2.525	2.483	2.462	2.541
$R_{\rm Cu-H}$	1.591	1.601	1.599	1.601	1.594	1.584	1.605	1.587
R_{Cu-X}		2.475	2.461	2.463	2.525	2.483	2.571	2.541
$\nu_{ m min}$	13	42	-29	-27	33	19	43	35
$\delta_{ m H}$	+0.8	+7.4	+8.2	+8.2	+2.2	+5.4	+5.8	+5.4

Results and Discussions

Both the perfect planar D_{6h} Cu₆H₆Si and pyramidal C_{6v} Cu₆H₆Si with Si lying 0.12 Å above the Cu₆ plane were confirmed to be transition states with one imaginary frequency. When symmetry constraint was removed during structural optimization, the slightly distorted $C_{2\nu}$ minimum was reached from either the C_{6v} pyramid or D_{6h} hexagon with Si atom located 0.33 Å above the Cu₆ plane. *However*, the energy differences among the C_{2v} pyramid, C_{6v} pyramid, and D_{6h} hexagon are smaller than their differences in zeropoint energies. Therefore, the vibrationally averaged structure of Cu_6H_6Si is actually planar and has the approximate symmetry of D_{6h} , similar to the situation observed in the vibrationally averaged D_{4h} [Al₄C]^{-.2} The aim to form a perfect D_{6h} Si center is achieved by removing one electron from Cu_6H_6Si to form a D_{6h} $Cu_6H_6Si^+$ cation, in which the Cu-Cu separations are increased by 0.07 Å to create a slightly bigger Cu₆ hexagon to host the HP Si center. Both D_{6h} Cu₆H₆P⁺ and Cu₆H₆As⁺ cations containing perfect HP nonmetal centers are confirmed to be true minima. However, the Ge atom is too big in size to be hosted in Cu_6H_6 , and the lowest energy structure of Cu_6H_6Ge is a $C_{6\nu}$ pyramid with Ge atom located 0.73 Å above the Cu₆ plane. The Cu– Cu bond lengths listed in Table 1 show that all Cu₆H₆X complexes are inward contracted compared to the original D_{6h} Cu₆H₆. This implies that the introduction of X centers helps to stabilize the complexes, as substantiated by the considerable binding energy of Cu₆H₆Si mentioned above and the observation that all Cu₆H₆X complexes have higher lowest vibrational frequencies v_{\min} than D_{6h} Cu₆H₆¹³ (see Table 1).

Different from the degenerate σ HOMO of D_{6h} Cu₆H₆, the HOMOs of Cu₆H₆X complexes feature delocalized π orbitals as shown in Figure 1. Orbital analyses indicate that the np_z valence orbitals of the central atoms dominate the

HOMOs of the systems, while the nearly fully filled Cu 3d orbitals are only slightly involved. Natural charge calculations indicate that the X atom in Cu₆H₆X serves as the negative charge center, Cu ligands form a positively charged ring, and the bridging H atoms carry negative charges to keep the overall charge balance. In the case of $C_{2\nu}$ Cu₆H₆Si, the calculated atomic charges are Si -1.31, H -0.41, and Cu +0.63 |e|, and the corresponding values of D_{6h} Cu₆H₆Si are Si -1.38, H -0.41, and Cu +0.64 |e|. Even in the positively charged D_{6h} Cu₆H₆X⁺ series, the HP nonmetal centers still carry the negative charges of Si -0.60 |e|, P -1.02 |e|, and As -0.93 |e|, with Cu atoms possessing the positive charges of +0.66, +0.71, and +0.71 |e| correspondingly. This "sandwich" charge distribution of Cu₆H₆X complexes reflects the consequences of electron transfers within the systems inferable from configuration analyses. For instance, the electron configurations of $C_{2\nu}$ Cu₆H₆Si are Cu [Ar]4s^{0.47}- $3d_{xy}^{1.96}3d_{xz}^{1.99}3d_{yz}^{1.99}3d_{x^2-y^{21.89}}3d_{z^{21.99}}$, Si [Ne] $3s^{1.83}$ $3p_x^{0.79}$ - $3p_y^{0.79}3p_z^{1.86}$, and H 1s^{1.41}. Here, the occupancy of the Cu 4s valence orbital is decreased from 4s1 in free Cu atom to 4s0.47 in the complex, while Cu 3d orbitals are all practically fully filled. Obviously, the 4s electrons of the Cu ligands are partially transferred to the more electronegative Si and H atoms (about 3 electrons transferred in total in this case). More importantly, this configuration pattern shows that Si center uses its three sp^2 hybrids to form six nearly equivalent bonds with surrounding Cu ligands, while its nearly doubly occupied $3p_z$ orbital is widely delocalized over the whole molecule to form the π HOMO of the complex (see Figure



Figure 1. Optimized structures and corresponding HOMO pictures of Cu_6H_6X complexes (X = Si, P, Ge, As) compared with D_{6h} Cu_6H_6 at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, revision A.1; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.

Hexagonal Hydrocopper Complexes Cu₆H₆X

1). D_{6h} Cu₆H₆Si has practically the same electron configurations (Cu [Ar]4s^{0.46}3d_{xy}^{1.96}3d_{xz}^{1.99}3d_{yz}^{1.99}3d_{x²-y²}^{1.89}3d_z^{21.99}, Si [Ne]3s^{1.82}3p_x^{0.82}3p_y^{0.82}3p_z^{1.88}, and H 1s^{1.40}) as C_{2v} Cu₆H₆Si, and similar configuration patterns exist in the isoelectronic C_{6v} Cu₆H₆Ge, D_{6h} Cu₆H₆P⁺, and D_{6h} Cu₆H₆As⁺. In these high-symmetry complexes, the six X–Cu bonds are exactly equivalent.

The special electron configuration of Si [Ne]3s^{1.86}3p_x^{0.85}-3p_y^{0.85}3p_z^{1.00} in D_{6h} Cu₆H₆Si⁺ cation is especially interesting. The Si 3p_z orbital is singly occupied here, and the removed electron mainly comes from the originally nearly doubly occupied Si 3p_z in the neutral complex. The much lowered occupancy of the Si 3p_z orbital makes the π HOMO of the cation less widely delocalized and less effective than the neutral, as substantiated by the 6.31 eV difference in energies between the neutral and cation. Contrary to planar coordinate carbon, which usually possess doubly occupied HOMOs,^{7,12} D_{6h} Cu₆H₆Si⁺ provides the first hypercoordinate planar nonmetal (HPN) center which has a singly occupied MO (SOMO) at the highest energy level.

As the geometrical centers of the M₆H₆X hexagons are occupied by nonmetal atoms, the widely used NICS index^{6,7} cannot be utilized to assess the aromaticity of the complexes. We choose to observe the variation of the NMR chemical shifts $\delta_{\rm H}$ calculated for H atoms outside the Cu₆ ring. The upfield NMR chemical shifts ($\delta_{\rm H} > 0$) tabulated in Table 1 clearly indicate that the aromaticity of hydrocopper Cu₆H₆¹³ vanishes with the introduction of a nonmetal center which has one nearly lone pair of np_z valence electrons. The isoelectronic Cu₆H₆Si, Cu₆H₆P⁺, Cu₆H₆Ge, and Cu₆H₆As⁺, which all have the nearly doubly occupied X np_z orbitals, possess the upfield chemical shifts narrowly ranging from $\delta_{\rm H} = +5.4$ to $\delta_{\rm H} = +7.4$ ppm, while Cu₆H₆Si⁺, the only case with a singly occupied Si 3p_z, has a much smaller chemical shift of $\delta_{\rm H} = +2.2$ ppm. The big difference in $\delta_{\rm H}$ values between Cu₆H₆Si and Cu₆H₆Si⁺ with different 3p_z occupancies clearly demonstrates the antiaromatic effect of the occupied np_z orbitals of the nonmetal centers. This finding is in line with the results obtained for the M₄H₄X and Cu₅H₅X series.11,12

Summary

We summarize here the bonding features of the hydrometal complexes $D_{nh} M_n H_n X$ (n = 4-6) centered with HPNs, on the basis of our investigations on $D_{4h} M_4 H_4 X$,¹¹ $D_{5h} Cu_5 H_5 X$ (M = Cu, Ni; X = B, C, N, O),¹² and $D_{6h} Cu_6 H_6 X$ (X = Si, P, As). First, the HPN center provides three sp² hybrids to form n equivalent X–M bonds with surrounding metal ligands and its nearly doubly occupied (or singly occupied in $Cu_6H_6Si^+$) X np_7 valence orbital dominates the delocalized π HOMO perpendicular to the molecular plane. Second, the *n* metal ligands partially transfer their 4s valence electrons to the more electronegative HPN center and n bridging H atoms, providing electrons to form the delocalized multicentered bonds to stabilize the complexes. Third, the bridging H atoms, which may be substituted by other radical groups, play important roles in maintaining the planarity of the complexes by forming two-electron three-center bridging bonds with neighboring transition metal atoms. Finally, the HPN center follows the octet rule by forming *n* equivalent X-M partial bonds. For instance, in terms of the Wiberg bond indices (WBIs), D_{6h} B₆C²⁻ has the bond order of $WBI_{C-B} = 0.63^7$ and $C_{2\nu} Cu_6 H_6 Si$ has the bond order of $WBI_{Si-Cu} = 0.30$ and $WBI_{Si-H} = 0.12$ and the total bond order of $WBI_{Si} = 2.52$. The corresponding atom-atom overlap-weighted bond orders are Si-Cu 0.41 and Si-H 0.10, and the total bond order of Si approaches 3.06. In C_{4v} Cu_4H_4C pyramid and D_{5h} Cu_5H_5C pentagon, $WBI_{C-Cu} = 0.37$ and 0.34 and $WBI_{C-H} = 0.24$ and 0.15, respectively. Similar situations happen in other Cu₆H₆X complexes. Obviously, these X-Cu bonds have lower bond orders than the C-B bonds in B_6C^{2-} . However, the nonnegligible X-H interactions in Cu_nH_nX complexes also help to stabilize the nonmetal centers and the WBIs, which do not include the multicenter bondings existing in Cu_nH_nX complexes, can only be treated as semiquantitative measures in estimating the relative bond strengths. In the view of geometrical requirement, the first-row elements B, C, N, and O can be hosted at the centers of squared M_4H_4 (M = Cu, Ni) and pentagonal Cu₅H₅,^{11,12} but they are too small to form effective X-Cu bonds at the center of Cu₆H₆ hexagon, while the second-row Si and P and third-row As have approximately the right sizes to form D_{6h} HP centers in Cu₆H₆X neutral or cations. These high-symmetry hydro-transition-metal complexes centered with HPNs can be modified to form various derivatives and may expand in one, two, or even three dimensions.

Acknowledgment. This work was financially supported by The Shanxi Natural Science Foundation. The authors thank Professor Hai-Shun Wu for encouragement and inspiration.

Supporting Information Available: Cartesian coordinates for the optimized complexes discussed in this work and the corresponding harmonic frequencies at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC049623U